

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

P.O. Box 178 - City Hall Alexandria, Virginia 22313

alexandriava.gov 703-746-4025

# **Transportation Planning Division**

# MEETING MINUTES FROM SEPTEMBER 15, 2011 HIGH CAPACITY TRANSIT CORRIDOR WORK GROUP MEETING

**To:** High Capacity Transit Corridor Work Group

From: Jim Maslanka, City of Alexandria, T&ES; Steve Sindiong, City of Alexandria, T&ES

Meeting Date: September 15, 2011 Time: September 15, 2011 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m.

**Meeting Location:** Charles Houston Recreation Center, Multi-Purpose Room

Subject: Transitway Corridor Feasibility Study High Capacity Transit Corridor Work Group

Meeting 9

Attendees: Corridor Work Group: Councilman Paul Smedberg (Co-Chair), Councilman Rob

Krupicka (Co-Chair), Donna Fossum, Dak Hardwick, Poul Hertel, John Komoroske,

Anna Bentley

City of Alexandria staff: Abi Lerner (Deputy Director, T&ES), Jim Maslanka

(T&ES), Steve Sindiong (T&ES), Danielle Parker (T&ES), Susan Gygi (T&ES), Jeff

Farner (Deputy Director, P&Z)

**Consultants:** David Whyte (Kimley-Horn), Erin Murphy (Kimley-Horn)

Members of the Public: 34 citizens signed in

#### Agenda

#### Corridor A (Duke Street/Eisenhower) Discussion

- 1. Introduction (10 minutes)
  - a. Opening Remarks Councilman Smedberg, CWG Co-Chair
  - b. Meeting Objectives and Goals Abi Lerner, T&ES
- 2. August 18 Meeting Minutes Abi Lerner, T&ES (5 minutes)
- 3. Corridor A Discussion *Kimley-Horn* (90 minutes)
  - a. Existing Conditions and Land Use
  - b. CWG Input on Existing Conditions / Land Use
  - c. Public Input on Existing Conditions and Land Use
  - d. Review proposed Evaluation Criteria
  - e. Alignments
  - f. CWG Input on Criteria and Alignments
  - g. Public Input on Alignments

- 4. Logistics and Next Steps Abi Lerner, T&ES (10 minutes)
  - a. Next Meeting Date
  - b. Next Meeting Topics
  - c. Upcoming Meetings Related to Corridor C Implementation

## **Summary of Discussion**

## Introduction

- Goal of the meeting:
  - Review existing conditions for Corridor A, review evaluation criteria, and discuss alignment options.

## **August 18 Minutes**

• Due to the meeting duration, the August 18 minutes were not discussed. They will be discussed for approval at the October 20 CWG meeting.

# Corridor A (Route 1 / North-South) Discussion on Existing Conditions and Alignment Options

- Presentation by David Whyte
- CWG Comment

# o Councilman Paul Smedberg

- Need some modified DASH service that is well branded, and unique to the characteristics of Old Town.
- Need to look south of our border, such as Huntington Metro, and in Fairfax along Route 1 to Fort Belvoir.
- Need to start looking at east to west, from Old Town toward Braddock.
- Need to understand if we are building the transitway to get to Metro, or somewhere else. If
  it is to get to Metro, we don't need to do anything.

#### o Councilman Rob Krupicka

- Would like to know the existing headways for transit routes, and average speeds.
- Would like to have good service along the REX line, and have a way to connect it to the future Route 9X.
- Options include: 1) Put as many buses between King Street and Braddock Metro; 2)
   Provide dedicated lanes; 3) Live with existing conditions; 4) provide marginal improvements at the edges.

#### o Anna Bentley

- Is fine with the population and employment density data – feel it is reliable.

#### O Donna Fossum

- Would be interesting to re-examine existing routes as a circulator
- Population and employment densities should be refined, by block level.
- Is there any origin/destination data? It would be good to know where people are coming from and going to.
- The yellow line should be extended to Fairfax County / Fort Belvoir.
- One size does not fit all Each corridor is different. Not sure what we are trying to do. Old Town is a special place.

#### Dak Hardwick

Transit in Savannah is good, but loud.

- What is the legal obligation in terms of modifying either Route 1 or GW Parkway?
- If north-south travel is a regional transit priority, then some of the associated funding should come from outside Alexandria.
- Transit Signal Priority (TSP) is needed, especially where travel speeds are slow.
- Branded buses are important.
- In California, there are barriers that restrict traffic during certain parts of the day.

#### o Poul Hertel

- Why is the 2030 population density showing high density in northeast Old Town? The use of census tracts is misleading. Response New data can be in the form of Floor to Area ratios (FAR), or by block level. New data will be posted on the project webpage and an email will be sent.
- The Transportation Master Plan looked at regional connections a lot of traffic is coming from outside of the City. We cannot and should not try to accommodate the thru traffic.
- DASH service is unreliable it often shows up late.
- The circulator in D.C. works well.
- We should not go out of our way to accommodate thru traffic.
- Need to understand how High Capacity Transit would benefit the City's residents.
- The only way people will use transit is if it is faster than a car.

## John Komoroske

- Need to understand Alexandria traffic vs. thru traffic.
- Like idea of connecting King Street metro to Braddock metro.
- Like the idea of circulators.

## • *Public Comment* on Corridor A Existing Conditions and Alignment Options

- With REX service, riders aren't looking to connect to Braddock metro.
- Very opposed to High Capacity Transit on Route 1 what are other solutions? If there is a need for connectivity between REX and Braddock metro, give funds to Metro. If riders get off and walk, there would be no charge. Consider a rail spur to the waterfront near the power plant.
- A purpose of historic districts is to preserve the architecture. Disappointed that the City is not considering preservation of neighborhoods, but instead accommodating outside people.
- o Instead of having dedicated lanes through Old Town, a higher priority should be getting people to use Metro
- o DASH buses are often empty. They should be smaller.
- Would like more east-west opportunities.
- o What is the real need for the connection between King Street metro and Braddock metro?
- o Isn't the purpose of the Crystal City Potomac Yard transitway to get people to metro?
- The people who will be making sacrifices need to clearly understand the reasons and need for high capacity transit.
- Having buses on Patrick Street will become a nuisance for the adjacent homes. Alexandria taxes should not be used to accommodate outside users.
- o Is the alignment needed to get people to metro, or is it to serve thru traffic?
- o A conditional goal should be to not widen any right-of-way through Old Town.
- O Does current public transit serve tourists well?
- o How do we know the current automobile users will switch to transit?
- Why are we doing anything? What are we trying to achieve?
- What happened to the original impetus of Corridor A, and serving Fort Belvoir?
- O Washington Street is better than Patrick Street
- O Like the idea of a circulator, not a north-south alignment.
- Need an origin/destination study.
- o Everything in the study has been based on assumptions we need facts.

- There seems to be a lot of contradictions being stated by the City, especially on why the high capacity transit is needed.
- o For the next meeting, please tell us exactly what the problem is we are trying to solve.
- What is Fairfax County planning to do?

# Corridor A Discussion on Preliminary Evaluation Criteria

• Due to insufficient time availability, there was no discussion on the preliminary screening criteria.

# **Logistics and Next Steps**

- The next meeting for Corridor B will be on Thursday, October 20, 2011.
- Updates on Corridor C:
  - o September 17 Council Public Hearing / Recommendation